

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/919,232	07/31/2001	William J. Ossmann	US010394	5552	
24737	7590 11/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BUDD, MARI	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2834		
•			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			21/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/919,232	OSSMANN, WILLIAM J.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Budd	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)						
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	✓ will not be entered or b) ☐ will	I he entered and on a	unlanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.	i be entered and an e	Apianation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-8,12,18-20 and 22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. 🗌 Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834 Continuation of 3. NOTE: STRUCTURAL recitations added to e.g. claim 1 not previously considered..

PRIMARY EXAMINER